


**ADDENDUM 002**  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS**  
**Automated Fuel Management Program**  
**RFP# 6-090901CB**

Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of Addendum 002 (TWO) by signing and including it with the original bid. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged and in full force.

Name and Title of Signer (Print or type)	Name and Title of Department Authority  Cheryl Bonner Senior General Services Specialist
Bidder Signature	Department of Transportation  
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	(Authorizing Signature)
Date Signed:	Date Signed: 08/19/09

**Question:** Some of the photographs provided in Addendum 001 do not match the information provided in the database reports (Revised Exhibit II). Should our proposal be based upon the information in the photographs or the database reports (Revised Exhibit II)?

**Response:** The database reports (Revised Exhibit II) should be more accurate than the photographs since some of them were taken several years ago. The photographs were provided to give an overall glimpse of the layout of the fuel islands and facilities at each site.

**Question:** Some of the photographs have information on them that indicate changes to the system. Are these changes that need to be implemented and included in our proposal or should our proposal be submitted based on the existing equipment at those sites? If these changes are to be included in our proposal, some of them require that tanks be relocated from one site to another. Should our proposal include the removal of the product and the re-installation of the tanks or is that something that MODOT will take care of? If these changes are not to be included in our proposal, will they be made prior to the implementation of the fuel management system?

**Response:** MoDOT will be responsible for completing all of the changes listed on the photographs prior to the implementation of the fuel management system therefore respondents should not include the pricing for these changes in their proposals. The respondent's proposal should be submitted according to the changes that MoDOT has indicated on the photographs.

**Question:** According to the information on the photographs, there are also several sites throughout the state will be diesel only by the time on fuel management implemented, but this is not mentioned in the database reports. Should our proposal take those sites into account or should it include the existing equipment?

**Response:** Yes, the proposal should take the diesel only sites into account. The unleaded equipment and information was left in the database reports so that respondents could assess whether the equipment could be reused at another location. The bulk fuel sites maps (Exhibit III) will provide the most accurate information relating to the type of fuel that will be provided at the sites. The Revised Exhibit II is the most accurate in terms of the existing equipment, with exception of the districts that have scheduled changes and the sites that are removing unleaded fuel. As stated in Section 2(A)(4) of the original RFP, additional changes may occur that are not reflected in Exhibit II (revised within Addendum 001).